



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	EIDCT MANGED BRIGHTON				
001-	1101110 21112	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/901,288	07/09/2001	Taisuke Hirono	3005-29 (D5620-26)	7132		
	90 02/10/2003					
LEWIS F. GOULD, JR. DUANE MORRIS & HECKSCHER, LLP			EV AVOVED			
			EXAMINER			
ONE LIBERTY PHILADELPHI			LEE, SEU	JNG H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2876			
•			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	A licant(s)	
Office Action Co.		09/901,288	HIRONO ET AL.	M
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	V
	The again man and	Seung H Lee	0070	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence add	ress
- External e	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput to reply its specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f	e timely filed days will be considered timely.	nmunication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)[☐		nis action is non-final.		
3) <u>□</u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal	prosecution as to the , 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application	on Papers	orden roquirement.		
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abevance.	See 37 CFR 1 85(a)	
11)□ T	ne proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappo	roved by the Evaminar	
	ii approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.	to Charminer.	
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🛛 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 1197	a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	a)-(a) or (i).	
1	Certified-copies-of-the-priority documents	have been received		
2	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicat	ion No	
3	. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	v documents have been reset	od in this National Co	
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not receive	ed.	
14)∐ Acl	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic ہ	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190	e) (to a provisional an	olication)
a) L	The translation of the foreign language provi	sional application has been used		энсанопу.
ttachment(s)			/ anu/UL [2].	
☐ Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152	
Patent and Trade	nark Office			

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Prelim. Amdt./Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Preliminary Amendment filed on 09 June 2001.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berssen et al. (US 5,386,287)(hereinafter referred to as 'Berssen') in view of Fann (US 6,279,828).

Art Unit: 2876

Berssen teaches a computer (4) serving as a cuvette control unit comprising a reading device (3) for reading a first bar code (8) in which the first bar code is affixed to the chemical sensor (1), evaluating information/data that is read from the barcode using the reading device with computer wherein the computer is equipped with a memory for evaluating process (see figure; col. 3, lines 4-59).

However, Berssen fails to teach or fairly suggest that the barcode comprises a start code, a stop code, a code for information, and a code for inspection.

Fann teaches a one dimensional bar code comprising the start code, data portion of code, checksum code, and stop code (see Fig. 4; col. 5, line 44- col. 8, line 37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Fann to the teachings of Berssen in order to provide an improved and an enhanced operation means wherein the reading device can start to decode information/data if the start code is detected and stop to decode if the stop code is detected. Moreover, such modification (i.e., the barcode is comprised with checksum code) would reduce the error in reading of barcode by comparing the value of information/data section of barcode with values of checksum code of barcode, and therefore an obvious expedient.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berssen as modified by Fann as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Barker et al. (US 4,900,513)(hereinafter referred to as 'Barker').

The teachings of Berssen/Fann have been discussed above.

Art Unit: 2876

Although, Berssen/Fann teach the computer system having the reading device for reading the barcode, they fail to teach or fairly suggest that a second barcode is affixed on the cuvette box.

However, Barker teaches a loading apparatus (10) having a carousel (16) for receiving samples (16) wherein the carousel has barcode label (140) to identify the carousel and the samples that carousel carries therewith (see Fig. 1; col. 2, line 53- col. 3, line 12; col. 6, lines 3-13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Barker to the teachings of Berssen/Fann in order to provide convenient means wherein the barcode on the cuvette box or carousel can hold additional information useful to operator(s)/user(s) such as serial number, data, time, location, etc. and therefore an obvious expedient.

Additional Remarks

8. The lack of an art rejection with this Office action is not an indication of allowable subject matter (i.e., even though the claim 7 is rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 as discussed above). The disclosure/claimed language is such that it is impractical to conduct a reasonable search of the prior art by the Examiner.

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure;

Hendrix et al. [US 5,391,352] discloses a blood analysis apparatus,

Bell [US 4,729,661] discloses an asynchronous serial cuvette reader.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Seung H. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-5894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax-phone number for this group is (703) 308-5841 or (703) 308-7722.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [michael.lee@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG

Art Unit: 2876

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Seung H. Lee Art Unit 2876 January 27, 2003

GM

MICHAEL G. LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800